The Relationship between Severity of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Heart Rate Variability

Omid Aminian¹, Narges Sadat Shams-Hosseini^{2*}, Khosro Sadeghniiat-Haghighi¹

^{1.} Occupational Sleep Research Center, Baharloo Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ^{2.} Center for Research on Occupational Diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 09 Jul. 2016 Accepted: 03 Sep. 2016

Abstract

Background and Objective: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is a prevalent disease in adults. Limited evidence regarding the effect of severity of sleep apnea and depression on heart rate variability (HRV) indices exists. Hence, we decided to focus on the association between HRV and severity of OSAS based on depression score.

Materials and Methods: A total of 193 patients with confirmed OSAS were selected from a sleep clinic setting. A checklist for demographic data and self-administered questionnaires including the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; Epworth Sleepiness Scale; Beck Depression Inventory; Snoring, Tiredness, Observed apnea, Blood pressure, Body mass index, Age, Neck circumference (STOP-BANG), and Gender questionnaire were filled in. We used two domains of HRV (e.g., frequency and time) estimation.

Results: The mean number of pairs of adjacent RR intervals (time between QRS complexes) differing by more than 50 ms in the entire analysis interval (NN50 count) was significantly different among various severity OSAS groups ($\mu = 2639.12 \pm 478.98$ for mild and moderate, and 2313.81 ± 670.54 in severe OSAS; P = 0.0200). In frequency domain, the indices were higher in severe OSAS patients. Statistically significant association was between HRV parameters (standard deviation of all RR intervals, mean of the standard deviation of all RR intervals for all 5-minutes segments, NN50 count, the NN50 count divided by the total number of all RR intervals, average total power, low frequency power) and OSAS severity.

Conclusion: There are some statistically significant differences between OSAS severity and parameters of HRV.

© 2016 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Obstructive sleep apnea; Depression; Heart rate variability

Citation: Aminian O, Shams-Hosseini NS, Sadeghniiat-Haghighi K. **The Relationship between Severity of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Heart Rate Variability.** J Sleep Sci 2016; 1(4): 156-63.

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) is a prevalent disease, with an incidence of 2-7 percent among adults (1). Some health problems such as excessive daytime sleepiness, narcolepsy, mental disorders including cognitive decline, and displeasure or depression, as well as some chronic diseases (such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, gastroesophageal reflux, stroke, pulmonary hypertension, systematic hypertension, coronary artery disease, and cardiac arrhythmia) are related to this syndrome (2, 3). Impairment of the cardiovascular system can also be caused by OSAS (4).

The sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems of human body are found to be interactive on the heart rate over time (5). On the other hand, adaptation problems in the autonomic nervous system are related to heart rate variability (HRV) decrease. HRV decrease is associated with some physical complications such as renal failure, hepatic insufficiency, diabetes, and particularly cardiovascular conditions such as congestive heart failure and myocardial infarction (6). Using indices (mostly time and frequency), HRV analysis is a noninvasive method to explore cardiac autonomic functioning (7-9). Recent studies have explored the relationship of these indices mainly in

^{*} Corresponding author: N.S. Shams-Hosseini, Center for Research on Occupational Diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Tel: +982155658500, *Fax:* +982155648189 *Email: shams1361@yahoo.com*

terms of time and frequency domains on sleep apnea (10, 11).

The studies have shown that HRV can be used as a diagnostic tool for OSAS (12-14). There is paucity of evidence suggesting that rise of low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF) ratio in patients with severe OSAS may be due to sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems imbalance (15, 16). Other studies have found a remarkably high rate of very LF (VLF) signal in these patients (17). Depression also influences HRV indices (18, 19). The number of pairs of adjacent RR intervals differing by more than 50 ms in the entire analysis interval (NN50 count) is mainly affected by parasympathetic system function, which is probably low in patients suffering from depression. On the other hand, depression is a common disorder in OSAS patients that interferes with diagnosis and treatment measures of sleep apnea (20, 21). The effects of the severity of sleep apnea and depression on HRV indices make it difficult to know which HRV index is more suitable for depressive OSAS patients. Hence, we decided to focus on the association between HRV variation and severity of OSAS based on depression score.

Materials and Methods

Subject selection and data collection: From 277 records of polysomnographic (PSG) data, 193 (69.6%) records with complete data were selected for this study. Sleep data of all participants were collected from Sleep Clinic at Bahraloo Hospital, Tehran, Iran.

All patients met the inclusion criterion defined as the age of 12 years or above. The patients taking alcoholic drinks or other sleep disturbing drugs within 24 hours before PSG test, patients suffering from any test disrupting diseases such as uncontrolled insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, past or present chronic conditions such as cardiovascular or pulmonary disorders were excluded. At first, we classified all subjects into two groups: one group that suffered from OSAS based on the history and result of PSG test, and the other groups that suffered from any other sleep disorders. Moreover, arrangement of the subjects based on the severity of OSAS resulted into three categories: mild, moderate, and severe.

Sleep apnea severity was categorized into two groups defined as: patients with apnea hypopnea index (AHI) = 5-29 were labeled mild to moder-

ate, and those with $AHI \ge 30$ were labeled as severe apnea (22).

Demographic data on age, sex, marital status, educational level, and occupational status were obtained through a checklist in the first session. Four self-administered questionnaires including the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (23); Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (24); Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (25); Snoring, Tiredness, Observed apnea, Blood pressure, Body mass index, Age, Neck circumference, and Gender (STOP-BANG) (26) questionnaire were used to measure sleep quality and quantity, depression, and signs and symptoms of sleep apnea syndrome. These questionnaires had been already validated in Iranian population. At the first session, body weight was measured using a calibrated scale with the subjects lightly dressed, and height was measured with a wall-mounted stadiometer without shoes. The measurement of the systolic and diastolic blood pressure was performed in supine position from the right arm 5 minutes after rest with a fitted cuff mercury sphygmomanometer [systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP)].

PSG methods: Electrocardiogram of all subjects was recorded by an Embla N7000 system (Medcare-Embla[®], Reykjavik, Iceland) and Somnologica version 3.3.1 software (Medcare-Embla[®], Reykjavik, Iceland) during the sleep period. We performed electromyography (EMG), electrocardiography (ECG), electroencephalography (EEG), and electrooculography throughout for PSG study for the differentiating various sleep stages.

ECG was recorded from lead II during the PSG study. Based on recorded RR interval (time between QRS complexes) on 5 minutes ECG, HRV index was calculated. Automated calculations of these data were performed by an Embla N7000 system (Medcare-Embla[®], Reykjavik, Iceland) using Somnologica version 3.3.1 (Medcare-Embla[®], Reykjavik, Iceland). Several studies have used these data with this system and software (27). All PSG data were scored and analyzed by a sleep technician person confirmed by a sleep medicine specialist according to American Academy of Sleep Medicine guideline on manual scoring.

HRV variability indices: We used two domains of HRV estimation including time and frequency domain indices. Average RR interval, standard deviation of all RR intervals (SDNN), mean of the standard deviation of all RR intervals for all 5-minutes segments (SDNN index), the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent RR intervals (RMSSD), NN50 count, the NN50 count divided by the total number of all RR intervals (NN50 percent), standard deviation of the averages of RR intervals in all 5-minutes segments (SDANN), and HRV triangular index (the total number of RR intervals divided by the maximum height of the histogram, excluding boundaries) were used for time domain illustration. The frequency domain of HRV consisted of VLF (0.0033-0.04 Hz), LF (0.04-0.15 Hz), and HF bands (0.15-0.40 Hz). The LF/HF ratio, LF norm (LF/(LF+HF)) and HF norm (HF/(LF+HF)) were also calculated.

Ethics: All the patients were informed and their consent was obtained before study initiation. The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Occupational Medicine Department of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive indices such as mean and standard deviation (for quantitative variables), and relative frequency (for qualitative variables) were calculated. We used ANOVA test to compare the distribution of age and HRV indices in cases of sleep apnea according to the severity; as, where normal distribution of the variables was checked by K–S analysis. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to compare the association of HRV indices with of some scale scores (ESS, STOP, STOP-BANG, and BDI), age, SBP,

DBP, and BMI. We then investigated the association between HRV parameters, severity of OSAS, and demographic variables.

Results

Most of the patients suffered from severe sleep apnea (AHI \ge 30) (n = 77; 67.5%) (Table 1).

Mean \pm SD age of patients suffering from sleep apnea syndrome and other patients were 49.0 ± 12.1 and 42.60 ± 12.82 , respectively. Patients who suffered from moderate sleep apnea, however, had higher mean age 56.09 ± 13.19 years, which resulted in a statistically significant difference of age between the two groups (P ≤ 0.0001) (Table 1). Most patients were male (133; 68.9%), but there was no statistically significant difference between sex and OSAS categories (P = 0.1000), and with the severity of OSAS (P = 0.7300).

Mean of BMI in OSAS patients was higher than 30, although in patients who did not suffer from OSAS it was $27.86 \pm 5.13 \text{ kg/m}^2$. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.0700) (Table 1).

SBP was significantly higher in patients suffering from OSAS (P = 0.0500). SBP in severe OSAS was significantly higher than other groups (P = 0.0500). There was no statistically significant difference in terms of DBP among different groups (Table 1).

Characteristics	Mild OSAS n = 26	Moderate OSAS n = 11	Severe OSAS n = 77	P-value	OSAS n = 114	Non OSAS n = 79	P-value
Frequency (%)	26 (22.8)	11 (9.6)	77 (67.5)		114 (59)	79 (41)	
Age (Mean \pm SD)	46.84 ± 11.47	56.09 ± 13.19	50.52 ± 12.96	0.1200	50.22 ± 12.78	42.60 ± 12.82	< 0.0001
BMI (Mean \pm SD)	38.23 ± 34.02	31.99 ± 11.48	31.60 ± 8.36	0.3600	32.95 ± 16.92	27.86 ± 5.13	0.0400
$DBP (Mean \pm SD)$	74.55 ± 11.28	86.00 ± 8.94	78.52 ± 17.25	0.0500	78.37 ± 15.26	77.08 ± 11.60	0.7200
SBP (Mean \pm SD)	116.15 ± 8.69	122.00 ± 8.36	126.45 ± 14.03	0.3800	123.27 ± 12.97	117.92 ± 15.31	0.1200
Gender (%)				0.7400			0.1000
Male	21 (18.4)	7 (6.1)	54 (47.3)		82 (71.9)	51 (64.6)	
Female	6 (5.3)	4 (3.5)	22 (19.2)		32 (28.1)	28 (35.4)	
Smoking (%)				0.7300			0.9100
Yes	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.6)		4 (3.5)	3 (2.5)	
No	25 (21.9)	11 (9.6)	74 (64.9)		110 (96.5)	77 (97.5)	
Marital status				0.1600			0.8500
Single	3 (2.6)	4 (3.5)	18 (15.8)		25 (22)	18 (23)	
Married	23 (20.1)	7 (6.1)	59 (51.7)		89 (78)	61 (77)	
Educational status				0.5400			0.3400
Under diploma	11 (9.6)	1 (0.9)	23 (20.2)		35 (30.7)	17 (21)	
Diploma	5 (4.4)	3 (2.6)	22 (19.3)		30 (26.3)	18 (23)	
Associate degree	3 (2.6)	2 (1.8)	6 (5.2)		11 (9.7)	7 (9)	
Bachelor	6 (5.2)	6 (5.2)	12 (10.5)		24 (21.0)	29 (37)	
Higher than bachelor	3 (2.6)	0 (0.0)	11 (9.6)		14 (12.3)	8 (10)	

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study subjects according to OSAS categories

OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; BMI: Body mass index; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; P < 0.0500: Significant; SD: Standard deviation

Questionnaire scores	Mild and moderate OSAS	Severe OSAS	D voluo	OSAS Non OSAS		D voluo
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	r-value	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	I -value
BDI score	12.30 ± 7.40	16.46 ± 12.73	0.0900	15.07 ± 11.35	15.24 ± 10.21	0.9300
STOP-BANG score	2.38 ± 0.87	3.00 ± 1.38	0.1000	2.79 ± 1.26	1.67 ± 1.06	< 0.0001
ESS	8.27 ± 4.95	10.85 ± 6.32	0.0700	9.95 ± 5.97	7.47 ± 5.32	0.0200

Table 2. Description of BDI, ESS and STOP-BANG questionnaire scores according to the severity of OSAS

OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; P < 0.0500: Significant; SD: Standard deviation; STOP-BANG: Snoring, Tiredness, Observed apnea, Blood pressure, Body mass index, Age, Neck circumference, and Gender

We did not find any significant difference between marital status, and educational status with OSAS (P > 0.0500) (Table 1).

High scores of BDI scale were observed in severe OSAS category although its difference in OSAS and non-OSAS groups was not significant (P = 0.5200) (Table 2).

Patients in severe OSAS category experienced significantly higher mean of STOP-BANG questionnaire scores (P < 0.0001), and ESS scores (P = 0.0200) (Table 2).

We did not find any significant difference in total sleep time and sleep efficiency in different categories of OSAS. The mean O_2 saturation in OSAS groups was lower than mean of O_2 saturation in non-sleep apnea, resulting in a statistically significant difference (P ≤ 0.0001). Furthermore, O_2 saturation decrease was significantly higher in severe OSAS patients (Table 3).

The results of HRV indices for each group are presented in table 4, and also NN50 count and NN50 of total HR (%). In the time-domain, we found a significant difference among groups (P = 0.0200; P = 0.0300, respectively). In frequency-domain, analysis showed that total power and LF power have a significant difference.

The mean SDNN and SDNN index yielded no significant difference between non OSAS and OSAS patients although statistically; there was a significant difference between two severity OSAS groups (P = 0.0500, P = 0.0050, respectively). The

NN50 count and the NN50 percent means were higher but not significant in non OSAS patients (Table 3). The mean NN50 count was significantly different among severity OSAS groups (P = 0.0200). The NN50 count was also clinically lower in severe OSAS patients (Table 3).

In frequency domain, we noted significant differences between OSAS and non OSAS patients in terms of average total power, LF, and HF power. These indices were higher in severe OSAS patients (Table 4).

There were weak reverse correlations in frequency domain including average total power with age (r = -0.22, P = 0.0020), with DBP (r = 0.26, P = 0.0300) and RDI (r = 0.16, P = 0.16)P = 0.0300), HF power was related by age (r = -0.20, P = 0.0050) and also LF power (r = -0.17, P = 0.0200,) in OSAS patients (Table 5). We found weak correlations between some time domain indices such as SDNN index with DBP (r = 0.25, P = 0.0400,) and RDI (r = 0.28, P < 0.0001); and SDNN with DBP (r = 0.27, P = 0.0200) and RDI (r = 0.26, P = 0.0200)P = 0.0010) (Table 5). On the other hand, we could not find any association between BDI score and HRV parameters, as well as BMI and HRV indices. Sleep questionnaire scores including ESS, STOP-BANG, and STOP surveys were weakly associated with some HRV indices such as average total power and LF power (Table 5).

Tuble of steep characteristics of putchts decording to obt is studies								
Sloop abaractoristics	OSAS patients	Non OSAS patients	- P-value					
Sleep characteristics	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD						
TST (min)	368.97 ± 88.25	368.72 ± 87.96	0.9800					
SE% (min)	72.82 ± 15.20	70.16 ± 15.61	0.2500					
SOL (min)	35.16 ± 26.74	50.02 ± 54.84	0.0300					
REM duration (min)	37.97 ± 27.76	49.30 ± 26.14	0.0080					
REM-TST (min)	10.60 ± 6.47	13.39 ± 5.50	0.0040					
Mean O ₂ saturation (min)	89.26 ± 10.16	93.48 ± 2.74	0.0010					

 Table 3. Sleep characteristics of patients according to OSAS status

OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; TST: Total sleep time; SE: Sleep efficiency; SOL: Sleep onset latency; O_2 saturation: Oxygen saturation; Min: Minimum; P < 0.0500: Significant

UDV indices	Mild and moder-	Severe OSAS	D voluo	OSAS patients	Non OSAS	Duohuo
HK V marces	Mean + SD	Mean + SD		Mean + SD	Mean + SD	r-value
Average RR interval	661.89 ± 90.08	635.34 ± 120.17	0.2300	643.88 ± 111.68	631.88 ± 107.39	0.4700
SDNN	121.52 ± 87.31	156.38 ± 91.50	0.0500	145.28 ± 91.27	134.26 ± 75.36	0.4000
SDNN index	92.10 ± 62.11	132.56 ± 83.77	0.0050	119.67 ± 79.54	111.74 ± 75.42	0.5000
SDANN	75.43 ± 50.28	90.54 ± 48.25	0.1200	85.68 ± 49.21	78.20 ± 42.66	0.2900
RMSSD	27.40 ± 17.22	26.25 ± 16.16	0.7200	26.62 ± 16.44	31.22 ± 18.48	0.0800
NN50 count	2639.12 ± 478.98	2313.81 ± 670.54	0.004	2418.48 ± 632.01	2573.14 ± 654.46	0.1100
NN50 percent	9.43 ± 0.94	9.47 ± 0.60	0.8000	9.46 ± 0.72	9.57 ± 0.67	0.2900
HRV triangular index	27.26 ± 32.27	25.03 ± 49.70	0.8000	25.75 ± 44.70	21.80 ± 32.92	0.5200
Average total power	927.23 ± 314.33	1137.94 ± 408.47	0.007	1069.55 ± 391.80	1065.79 ± 323.94	0.9400
VLF power	458.67 ± 252.87	496.76 ± 277.38	0.4800	484.40 ± 269.15	479.06 ± 264.91	0.8900
LF power	261.18 ± 101.26	355.22 ± 160.02	0.0010	324.70 ± 149.81	317.76 ± 114.61	0.7400
HF power	174.79 ± 111.84	233.61 ± 141.99	0.0100	214.52 ± 135.31	227.29 ± 124.16	0.5200
LF/HF	1.97 ± 1.24	2.12 ± 1.76	0.6600	2.07 ± 1.61	1.76 ± 1.22	0.1700
LF norm	58.17 ± 12.86	57.15 ± 15.01	0.7200	57.48 ± 14.30	55.73 ± 13.56	0.4100
HF norm	35.41 ± 10.77	35.07 ± 11.59	0.8800	35.18 ± 11.28	37.82 ± 10.13	0.1100

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of HRV indices according to OSAS severity

OSAS: Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; SD: standard deviation; Average RR interval: Average total R-R interval; SDNN: Standard deviation of all RR intervals; SDNN index: Mean of the standard deviation of all RR intervals for all 5-minutes segments; RMSSD: Square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent RR intervals; NN50 count: The number of pairs of adjacent RR intervals differing by more than 50 ms in the entire analysis interval; NN50 percent (%): The NN50 count divided by the total number of all RR intervals; SDANN: The standard deviation of the averages of RR intervals in all 5-minutes segments; HRV triangular index: Total number of RR intervals divided by maximum height of the histogram excluding boundaries; VLF power: Very low frequency; LF power: Low frequency; HF power: High frequency; RR interval: The time between two consecutive R waves in the electrocardiogram; LF norm: LF/(LF+HF) and HF norm: HF/(LF+HF); P < 0.0500: Significant; HRV: Heart rate variability

Discussion

There are paucity of data on HRV focusing on the relationship between HRV and OSAS. However, most of these studies have focused on comparing HRV between OSAS patients and normal population, and data on the comparison of HRV in severity groups of OSAS and the role of depression on HRV in these patients are scarce (28). This paper is based on comparing HRV in different severity groups and its relationship with psychological status.

The HF band is correlated with the parasympathetic system, while the LF band is correlated with both the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems. Many studies use the LF/HF ratio as an index of "imbalance" of the autonomic nervous control system (ANS) (5, 29). In this study, we found significant differences between some indices of HRV such as LF power and HF power in severity groups. Narkiewicz et al. consistent with current results showed that RR intervals were shorter in patients who suffered from moderate to severe OSAS although this difference was not statistically significant. They had increased sympathetic burst frequency compared with control subjects (17).

Several studies showed that NN50 count tended to increase with AHI (16, 30); however, these results could not confirm those findings. NN50 count was associated with OSAS severity in OSAS patients and NN50 percent was further related to BDI score. Several studies have shown that NN50 count was affected by parasympathetic system that tended to decrease with increase in BDI score.

We demonstrated the SBP was not significantly higher in OSAS patients, although in Narkiewicz et al.'s study, it was reported contrary.

In addition, we reported an important contribution of age on HRV changes in OSAS patients as in the other studies. In addition, the ANS function decreases in older age, therefore, HRV indices are affected by age (30). SDANN, SDNN, and SDNN are recognized as indices which decrease after 20 years of age (30).

In patients suffering from OSAS and manifesting depression symptoms, this problem was related to the disease or its treatment (31-33), but some studies did not report any difference (34, 35). Depression disorder was very important factor in the treatment of OSAS (36, 37). On the other hand, HRV frequency domain might be low in depression patients with comorbid cardiovascular disease (19).

In frequency domain, HF power was significantly higher in severe OSAS patients, as reported in Park et al. (16) and Narkiewicz et al. (38). Moreover, in this study, a significant increase of LF power in severe OSAS patients was noted as in Aydin et al. study (39).

Average RR interval Pr 0.0080 0.01400 0.0210 0.01840 0.0240 0.02500 0.01240 P-value 0.9240 0.5080 0.9560 0.0140 0.2210 0.3740 0.8490 0.6450 0.1130 SDNN 0.0500 0.2460 0.1330 0.0940 0.2740° 0.0460 0.2620° P-value 0.2580 0.0230 0.2460 0.0420 0.1320 0.3240 0.2250° 0.0400 0.2660° P-value 0.2580 0.1230 0.0400 0.2680° 0.0140 0.2580 0.0400 0.2680° SDAN 0.0420 0.0450 0.1910 0.0400 0.0860 SDAN 0.0450 0.1910 0.0400 0.0500 7.730 0.2500 P-value 0.0500 0.7630 0.510 -0.0500 0.7630 0.1510 0.3740 0.3570 0.0500 0.7630 0.1570 0.1570 0.	Variables	BMI	Age	BDI score	ESS score	STOP- BANG score	STOP score	DBP	SBP	RDI
Pr -0.0080 -0.00490 -0.0050 -0.220' -0.1400 -0.0340 0.0240 -0.0550 -0.1240 P-value 0.9240 0.5080 0.9560 0.0140 0.2210 0.3740 0.8490 0.6450 0.1130 SDN P-value 0.2580 0.0500 0.2460 0.0130 0.1530 0.3240 0.0260 0.2660' P-value 0.2850 0.1460 0.1180 0.3240 0.0260 0.2660'' P-value 0.2850 0.1230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2040 0.2850 0.0400 0.2860''' SDAN P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.0700 -0.0940 0.0780 0.0410 0.1910 0.0400 0.0360 P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.0570 0.0800 0.4970 0.6160 0.190' 0.370'' P-value 0.0590 0.0220 0.0350 0.510 -0.0230 0.0660 -0.180' 0.1890 0.3890 0.3920 0.2610	Average RR interval									
P-value 0.9240 0.5080 0.9560 0.0140 0.2210 0.3740 0.8490 0.6450 0.1130 SDNN Pr 0.0990 -0.1460 -0.1110 0.1860* 0.1530 0.0940 0.2740* 0.0460 0.2620** P-value 0.2580 0.0200 0.2460 0.0430 0.1830 0.3240 0.0260 0.0010 0.0010 P-value 0.2850 0.1230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2040 0.2850 0.0400 0.7360 <0.0001	Pr	-0.0080	-0.0490	-0.0050	-0.2230*	-0.1400	-0.0840	0.0240	-0.0550	-0.1240
SDN	P-value	0.9240	0.5080	0.9560	0.0140	0.2210	0.3740	0.8490	0.6450	0.1130
Pr 0.0990 0.1460 0.0110 0.1860' 0.1530 0.0940 0.2740' 0.0460 0.2620' Brvalue 0.2580 0.0500 0.2460 0.0430 0.1830 0.3240 0.0260 0.7010 0.0010 SDNN index - - 0.0460 0.2860'' 0.2460 0.2330' 0.0400 0.2860'' P-value 0.2850 0.1230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2480 0.0400 0.0360'' SDANN - - 0.0450 0.1400 0.0500 0.0450 0.1400 0.0960 P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.4570 0.3060 0.4970 0.6310 0.1220 0.7370 0.2190 RMSSD - - - 0.0500 0.0763 0.7130 0.5820 0.830 0.9490 0.1890 0.3270 0.2610 NNS0 cont - - - 0.0770 0.1800' 0.1570 0.0770 0.1520 0.3740 0.0590 0.0160	SDNN									
P-value 0.2580 0.0500 0.2460 0.0430 0.1830 0.3240 0.0260 0.7010 0.0010 SDNN index P-value 0.2850 0.11230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2850 0.0400 0.2350* 0.0400 0.2360* <0.0400	Pr	0.0990	-0.1460	-0.1110	0.1860^{*}	0.1530	0.0940	0.2740^{*}	0.0460	0.2620^{**}
SDN: index Pr 0.0940 -0.1150 -0.1060 0.1870* 0.1240 0.2250* 0.0230* <0.000* SDANN	P-value	0.2580	0.0500	0.2460	0.0430	0.1830	0.3240	0.0260	0.7010	0.0010
Pr 0.0940 -0.1150 -0.1060 0.1870* 0.1460 0.1280 0.2850* 0.0400 0.2380* Pvalue 0.2850 0.1230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2850 0.0400 0.7360 < 0.0001	SDNN index									
P-value 0.2850 0.1230 0.2660 0.0420 0.2040 0.2850 0.0400 0.7360 <0.0011 SDANN Pr 0.0680 -0.1140 -0.0700 -0.0940 0.0780 0.0450 0.1210 0.0400 0.0960 P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.4570 0.3060 0.4970 0.6310 0.1220 0.7370 0.2190 RMSSD Pr -0.0590 0.0220 0.0350 0.0510 -0.0230 0.0060 -0.1620 0.0100 -0.0880 P-value 0.0440 -0.0990 -0.0570 0.180° 0.1510 -0.0840 -0.0590 0.0200 0.2070** NN50 corner Pr -0.0440 0.0990 -0.0570 0.180° 0.1870 0.3740 0.6370 -0.120 0.1210 0.1310 -0.180° 0.0530 0.6390 0.1630 -0.2190 -0.1520 P-value 0.6190 0.2110 0.5590 0.0560 -0.0210 -0.1230 0.3330 P-	Pr	0.0940	-0.1150	-0.1060	0.1870^{*}	0.1460	0.1020	0.2530^{*}	0.0400	0.2860^{**}
SDANN	P-value	0.2850	0.1230	0.2660	0.0420	0.2040	0.2850	0.0400	0.7360	< 0.0001
Pr -0.0680 -0.1140 -0.0700 -0.0940 0.0780 0.0450 0.1910 0.0400 0.0960 P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.4350 0.3060 0.4970 0.6150 0.1220 0.7370 0.2190 RMSSD P-value 0.0590 0.0220 0.0350 0.0510 -0.0230 0.0660 -1.620 0.0100 -0.0880 P-value 0.0440 -0.0990 -0.0570 0.1800 0.1510 -0.0840 0.0590 0.0370** NS0 cornt P- -0.0440 -0.0990 -0.0570 0.1800 0.1870 0.3740 0.6370 0.1370 0.3740 0.0500 0.0520 0.0210 0.0570 0.1870 0.3740 0.0630 0.0520 0.0210 0.1630 -0.2190 -0.1520 NS0 percent Pr -0.0790 -0.1770 0.1310 -0.1760 -0.2570* -0.0300 -0.1230 0.0330 P-value 0.6190 0.2110 0.5590 0.05410 0.8570	SDANN									
P-value 0.4350 0.1240 0.4570 0.3060 0.4970 0.6310 0.1220 0.7370 0.2190 RMSSD	Pr	-0.0680	-0.1140	-0.0700	-0.0940	0.0780	0.0450	0.1910	0.0400	0.0960
RMSSD	P-value	0.4350	0.1240	0.4570	0.3060	0.4970	0.6310	0.1220	0.7370	0.2190
Pr -0.0590 0.0220 0.0350 0.0510 -0.0230 0.0060 -0.1620 0.0100 -0.0880 NN50 count	RMSSD									
P-value 0.5000 0.7630 0.7130 0.5820 0.8390 0.9490 0.1890 0.9320 0.2610 NN50 count - - - - - 0.0440 -0.0590 0.0570* - 0.1510 -0.0840 -0.0590 0.0370* <	Pr	-0.0590	0.0220	0.0350	0.0510	-0.0230	0.0060	-0.1620	0.0100	-0.0880
NNS0 count Pr -0.0440 -0.0990 -0.0570 -0.1800* -0.1510 -0.0840 -0.0590 0.0190 -0.3070** P-value 0.6160 0.1830 0.5490 0.0480 0.1870 0.3740 0.6370 0.8740 <0.0001	P-value	0.5000	0.7630	0.7130	0.5820	0.8390	0.9490	0.1890	0.9320	0.2610
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NN50 count									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pr	-0.0440	-0.0990	-0.0570	-0.1800*	-0.1510	-0.0840	-0.0590	0.0190	-0.3070**
NN50 percent Pr -0.0790 -0.1270 0.1310 -0.1760 -0.2570* -0.0390 -0.1630 -0.2190 -0.1520 P-value 0.3620 0.0850 0.1660 0.0530 0.0230 0.6800 0.1870 0.0620 0.0520 HRV triangular	P-value	0.6160	0.1830	0.5490	0.0480	0.1870	0.3740	0.6370	0.8740	< 0.0001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NN50 percent									
P-value 0.3620 0.0850 0.1660 0.0530 0.0230 0.6800 0.1870 0.0620 0.0520 HRV triangular index - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0320 0.0330 0.0330 0.0370 0.0410 0.0200 0.6220 0.2990 0.6720 Average total power - - - - 0.0260 0.2110 0.5590 0.0410 0.0200 0.0310 0.3410 0.0370 VLF power - - - 0.0260 0.1180 0.0270 0.0590 0.1080 0.1980* 0.1040 0.0870 0.0430 P-value 0.7670 0.1130 0.7790 0.5220 0.3510 0.04040 0.4660 0.5800 LF power - - - - - 0.220<	Pr	-0.0790	-0.1270	0.1310	-0.1760	-0.2570^{*}	-0.0390	-0.1630	-0.2190	-0.1520
HRV triangular index non- non- <td< td=""><td>P-value</td><td>0.3620</td><td>0.0850</td><td>0.1660</td><td>0.0530</td><td>0.0230</td><td>0.6800</td><td>0.1870</td><td>0.0620</td><td>0.0520</td></td<>	P-value	0.3620	0.0850	0.1660	0.0530	0.0230	0.6800	0.1870	0.0620	0.0520
index Pr -0.0430 -0.0930 0.0550 0.0560 -0.0210 -0.1190 -0.0610 -0.1230 0.0330 P-value 0.6190 0.2110 0.5590 0.5410 0.8570 0.2050 0.6220 0.2290 0.6720 Average total power Pr -0.0280 -0.2200 -0.2200 0.2330* 0.2840** 0.2650* 0.1140 0.1640* Pvalue 0.7480 0.0020 0.8150 0.0150 0.0410 0.0020 0.310 0.3410 0.0370 VLF power Pr -0.0260 -0.1180 -0.0270 0.0590 0.1080 0.1980* 0.1040 0.0870 0.0430 P-value 0.7670 0.1130 0.7790 0.5220 0.3510 0.0350 0.4040 0.4660 0.5880 LF power Pr 0.0020 -0.170* -0.0090 0.2260* 0.2450* 0.2120 0.1440 0.1850* P-value 0.9820 0.0220 0.9260 0.0140 0.2450* 0.2120 0.1440 0.1850* Pr 0.0410	HRV triangular									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	index									
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pr	-0.0430	-0.0930	0.0550	0.0560	-0.0210	-0.1190	-0.0610	-0.1230	0.0330
Average total power Pr -0.0280 -0.2200 -0.2200 0.2230* 0.2330* 0.2840** 0.2650* 0.1140 0.1640* P-value 0.7480 0.0020 0.8150 0.0150 0.0410 0.0020 0.0310 0.3410 0.0370 VLF power Pr -0.0260 -0.1180 -0.0270 0.0590 0.1080 0.1980* 0.1040 0.0870 0.0430 P-value 0.7670 0.1130 0.7790 0.5220 0.3510 0.0350 0.4040 0.4660 0.5880 LF power Pr 0.0020 -0.1700* -0.0090 0.2260* 0.2450* 0.2450** 0.2120 0.1440 0.1850* P-value 0.9820 0.0220 0.9260 0.0140 0.0320 0.0090 0.8870 0.2450** 0.2120 0.1440 0.1850* Pr -0.0410 -0.2080** 0.0140 0.2240* 0.0990 0.0830 0.2220 -0.0280 0.1100 Pr 0.0460 0.0050 0.8870 0.0140 0.4380 0.3820 0.0730 0.8150 0.1	P-value	0.6190	0.2110	0.5590	0.5410	0.8570	0.2050	0.6220	0.2990	0.6720
Pr -0.0280 -0.2200 -0.2200 0.2230* 0.2330* 0.2840** 0.2650* 0.1140 0.1640* P-value 0.7480 0.0020 0.8150 0.0150 0.0410 0.0020 0.0310 0.3410 0.0370 VLF power - - - 0.0260 -0.1180 -0.0270 0.0590 0.1080 0.1980* 0.1040 0.0870 0.0430 P-value 0.7670 0.1130 0.7790 0.5220 0.3510 0.0350 0.4040 0.4660 0.5880 LF power - - - - - - 0.0220 0.5220 0.3510 0.0350 0.4040 0.4660 0.5880 LF power - - - 0.0220 0.9260 0.0240* 0.0320 0.0900 0.0870 0.2280 0.0180 HF power - - - 0.0410 -0.2080** 0.0140 0.3220* 0.0900 0.0830 0.2220 -0.0280 0.1100 P-value 0.6400 0.0050 0.8870 0.0140 0.4380	Average total power									
P-value 0.0200 0.8150 0.0200 0.0310 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0430 0.0180 0.0850 0.0220 0.0050 0.0310 0.0050 0.0870 0.0180 0.0850 0.0180	Pr	-0.0280	-0.2200	-0.2200	0.2230^{*}	0.2330^{*}	0.2840^{**}	0.2650^{*}	0 1 1 4 0	0.1640^{*}
VLF power Note 0.0100 0.0140 0.0220 0.0210 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0180 0.0220 0.0220 0.0210 0.0180 0.0180 0.0210 0.0180 0.0180 0.0210 0.0180	P-value	0.7480	0.0020	0.8150	0.0150	0.0410	0.0020	0.0310	0.3410	0.0370
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	VLF power	017 100	0.0020	010100	010120	010110	010020	0.0010	010 110	010270
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pr	-0.0260	-0 1180	-0.0270	0.0590	0 1080	0.1980^{*}	0 1040	0.0870	0.0430
LF power Pr Pr 0.0020 -0.1700* -0.0090 0.2260* 0.2450* 0.2450* 0.2120 0.1440 0.1850* P-value 0.9820 0.0220 0.9260 0.0140 0.0320 0.0090 0.0870 0.2280 0.0180 HF power Pr -0.0410 -0.2080** 0.0140 0.2240* 0.0900 0.0830 0.2220 -0.0280 0.1100 P-value 0.6400 0.0050 0.8870 0.0140 0.4380 0.3820 0.0730 0.8150 0.1630 LF/HF Pr 0.0680 0.1180 -0.0670 -0.1280 0.0930 0.0900 -0.1110 0.0470 0.0540 P-value 0.4390 0.1140 0.4860 0.1650 0.4190 0.3460 0.3730 0.6960 0.4950 HF norm Pr -0.0680 -0.1380 0.0530 0.0840 -0.1300 -0.1000 0.1430 -0.0760 -0.0500 P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	P-value	0.7670	0.1130	0.7790	0.5220	0.3510	0.0350	0.4040	0.4660	0.5880
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LEpower	01/0/0	011100	011120	010220	010010	0100000	011010	01.000	010000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pr	0.0020	-0.1700*	-0.0090	0.2260^{*}	0.2450^{*}	0.2450**	0.2120	0.1440	0.1850^{*}
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P-value	0.9820	0.0220	0.9260	0.0140	0.0320	0.0090	0.0870	0.2280	0.0180
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HF power	0.0020	010220	0.7200	010110	010220	010070	010070	0.2200	010100
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pr	-0.0410	-0.2080**	0.0140	0.2240^{*}	0.0900	0.0830	0.2220	-0.0280	0.1100
LF/HF Pr 0.0680 0.1180 -0.0670 -0.1280 0.0930 0.0900 -0.1110 0.0470 0.0540 P-value 0.4390 0.1140 0.4860 0.1650 0.4190 0.3460 0.3730 0.6960 0.4950 HF norm Pr -0.0680 -0.1380 0.0530 0.0840 -0.1300 -0.1000 0.1430 -0.0760 -0.0500 P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	P-value	0.6400	0.0050	0.8870	0.0140	0.4380	0.3820	0.0730	0.8150	0.1630
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LF/HF	0.0100	0.0020	0.0070	0.0110	0.1500	0.5020	0.0750	0.0120	0.1050
P-value 0.4390 0.1140 0.4860 0.1650 0.4190 0.3460 0.3730 0.6960 0.4950 HF norm Pr -0.0680 -0.1380 0.0530 0.0840 -0.1300 -0.1000 0.1430 -0.0760 -0.0500 P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	Pr	0.0680	0.1180	-0.0670	-0.1280	0.0930	0.0900	-0 1110	0.0470	0.0540
HF norm Pr -0.0680 -0.1380 0.0530 0.0840 -0.1300 -0.1000 0.1430 -0.0760 -0.0500 P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	P-value	0.4390	0.1140	0.4860	0.1200	0.4190	0.3460	0.3730	0.6960	0.4950
In norm Pr -0.0680 -0.1380 0.0530 0.0840 -0.1300 -0.1000 0.1430 -0.0760 -0.0500 P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	HEnorm	0.4570	0.1140	0.4000	0.1050	0.4190	0.5400	0.5750	0.0700	0.4950
P-value 0.4430 0.0650 0.5780 0.3630 0.2590 0.2910 0.2510 0.5270 0.5270 LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	Pr	-0.0680	-0.1380	0.0530	0.0840	-0.1300	-0 1000	0 1430	-0.0760	-0.0500
LF norm Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	P-value	0.4430	0.0650	0.5780	0.3630	0.2590	0.2910	0.2510	0.5270	0.5270
Pr 0.0380 0.0640 -0.0180 -0.0860 0.0730 0.0440 -0.1520 0.0600 -0.0100 P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	LEnorm	0.1150	0.0000	0.0700	0.2020	0.2070	0.2710	0.2010	0.0270	0.0270
P-value 0.6630 0.3910 0.8530 0.3530 0.5290 0.6450 0.2230 0.6190 0.9010 N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	Pr	0.0380	0.0640	-0.0180	-0.0860	0.0730	0.0440	-0 1520	0.0600	-0.0100
N 131 181 112 119 77 113 66 72 162	P-value	0.6530	0.3910	0.8530	0.3530	0.5790	0.6450	0.1320	0.6190	0.9010
	N	131	181	112	119	77	113	66	72	162

^{*}P-value ≤ 0.05 ; ^{**}P-value < 0.01; BMI: Body mass index; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; BDI: Beck depression inventory; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; Avr. RR interval: Average total RR interval; SDNN: Standard deviation of all RR intervals; SDNN index: Mean of the standard deviation of all RR intervals for all 5-minutes segments; RMSSD: Square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent RR intervals; NN50 count: The number of pairs of adjacent RR intervals differing by more than 50 ms in the entire analysis interval; NN50 percent (%): The NN50 count divided by the total number of all RR intervals; SDANN: The standard deviation of the averages of RR intervals in all 5-minutes segments; HRV triangular index: Total number of RR intervals divided by maximum height of the histogram excluding boundaries; VLF power: Very low frequency; LF power: Low frequency; HF power: High frequency; RR interval: The time between two consecutive R waves in the electrocardiogram; LF norm: LF/(LF+HF) and HF norm: HF/(LF+HF); HRV: Heart rate variability

The LF and HF power parameters have been identified as index for sympathic and parasympathic systems' function, respectively. In contrast of Park et al. (16), we found an association between LF and HF power in OSAS patients based on severity of disease, which can explain the increase of both systems' function (sympathetic and parasympathetic) in severe OSAS patients. However, unlike the study of Yang et al. (40), we did not find any association between severity of OSAS and LF/HF ratio as a marker for sympathetic and parasympathetic systems function imbalance although these results are controversial (15, 38, 39).

In this study, we showed no difference in terms of BDI scores in both OSAS patients and non-OSAS patients. On the contrary, we found clinically and statistically significant higher BDI score in severe OSAS patients. This finding is same as Pillar study, although in Pillar and Lavie (41) study this difference was seen in female patients.

There were few limitations for this study. First, the first-night effect was not considered in this study. First-night effects may increase sleep onset latency, decrease overall sleep time, increase wake after sleep onset, and invasion of alpha in non-rapid eye movement stages of sleep (29). However, PSG was conducted under the same conditions on all subjects, and the influence of first-night effects on the comparison between groups is unlikely. Second, there was an error of software automated calculation of HRV indices due to instruments' misreading in ECG due to patients movements, however, we analyzed PSG test separately after eliminating instruments' misreading (42). Third, this study suffered from lack of some clinical data such as anxiety and stress scores.

Conclusion

We illustrated the differences between severity of apnea in OSAS patients and HRV parameters in terms of time domain and frequency domain. Despite several factors contributing to HRV analysis, these differences are clearly affected by BDI score and age.

Conflict of Interests

Authors have no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

This study has been supported by the Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

References

1. Punjabi NM. The epidemiology of adult obstructive sleep apnea. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2008; 5: 136-43. 2. Millman RP. Snoring and apnea. Clin Chest Med 1987; 8: 253-64.

3. Tarasiuk A, Greenberg-Dotan S, Brin YS, et al. Determinants affecting health-care utilization in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients. Chest 2005; 128: 1310-4.

4. Pashayan AG, Passannante AN, Rock P. Pathophysiology of obstructive sleep apnea. Anesthesiol Clin North America 2005; 23: 431-43, vi.

5. Sztajzel J. Heart rate variability: A noninvasive electrocardiographic method to measure the autonomic nervous system. Swiss Med Wkly 2004; 134: 514-22.

6. Rajendra Acharya U, Paul Joseph K, Kannathal N, et al. Heart rate variability: a review. Med Biol Eng Comput 2006; 44: 1031-51.

7. Hung J, Whitford EG, Parsons RW, et al. Association of sleep apnoea with myocardial infarction in men. Lancet 1990; 336: 261-4.

8. Tilkian AG, Guilleminault C, Schroeder JS, et al. Hemodynamics in sleep-induced apnea. Studies during wakefulness and sleep. Ann Intern Med 1976; 85: 714-9.

9. Song MK, Ha JH, Ryu SH, et al. The effect of aging and severity of sleep apnea on heart rate variability indices in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Psychiatry Investig 2012; 9: 65-72.

10. Abdullah H, Maddage NC, Cosic I, et al. Crosscorrelation of EEG frequency bands and heart rate variability for sleep apnoea classification. Med Biol Eng Comput 2010; 48: 1261-9.

11. Al-Abed M, Behbehani K, Burk JR, et al. Cross correlation and scatter plots of the heart rate variability and R-peak Envelope as features in the detection of obstructive sleep apnea. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2008; 2008: 3488-91.

12. Bauer T, Ewig S, Schafer H, et al. Heart rate variability in patients with sleep-related breathing disorders. Cardiology 1996; 87: 492-6.

13. Roche F, Gaspoz JM, Court-Fortune, et al. Screening of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome by heart rate variability analysis. Circulation 1999; 100: 1411-5.

14. Roegel JC, Toussaint M, Ehrhart J, et al. Altered heart rate variability but preserved temporal relationship with sleep stages in a patient with primary aldosteronism. Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss 2001; 94: 937-40.

15. Gula LJ, Krahn AD, Skanes A, et al. Heart rate variability in obstructive sleep apnea: a prospective study and frequency domain analysis. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2003; 8: 144-9.

16. Park DH, Shin CJ, Hong SC, et al. Correlation between the severity of obstructive sleep apnea and heart rate variability indices. J Korean Med Sci 2008; 23: 226-31.

17. Wakai M, Samejima Y, Goshima K, et al. Altered heart rate variability in severe sleep apnea syndrome. Sleep Biol Rhythms 2004;2:87-8 2004; 2: 87-8.

18. Carney RM, Freedland KE, Veith RC. Depression, the autonomic nervous system, and coronary

heart disease. Psychosom Med 2005; 67: S29-S33.

19. Licht CM, de Geus EJ, Zitman FG, et al. Association between major depressive disorder and heart rate variability in the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA). Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008; 65: 1358-67.

20. Harris M, Glozier N, Ratnavadivel R, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and depression. Sleep Med Rev 2009; 13: 437-44.

21. Schwartz DJ, Kohler WC, Karatinos G. Symptoms of depression in individuals with obstructive sleep apnea may be amenable to treatment with continuous positive airway pressure. Chest 2005; 128: 1304-9.

22. Iber C. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: rules, terminology and technical specifications. 1st ed. Westchester, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2007.

23. Farrahi MJ, Nakhaee N, Sheibani V, et al. Reliability and validity of the Persian version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-P). Sleep Breath 2012; 16: 79-82.

24. Sadeghniiat Haghighi K, Montazeri A, Khajeh MA, et al. The Epworth Sleepiness Scale: translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Sleep Breath 2013; 17: 419-26.

25. Ghassemzadeh H, Mojtabai R, Karamghadiri N, et al. Psychometric properties of a Persian-language version of the Beck Depression Inventory-Second edition: BDI-II-PERSIAN. Depress Anxiety 2005; 21: 185-92.

26. Sadeghniiat-Haghighi K, Montazeri A, Khajeh-Mehrizi A, Get al. The STOP-BANG questionnaire: reliability and validity of the Persian version in sleep clinic population. Qual Life Res 2015; 24: 2025-30.

27. Bruni O, Ferri R, Miano S, et al. Sleep cyclic alternating pattern in normal preschool-aged children. Sleep 2005; 28: 220-30.

28. Lado MJ, Mendez AJ, Rodriguez-Linares L, et al. Nocturnal evolution of heart rate variability indices in sleep apnea. Comput Biol Med 2012; 42: 1179-85.

29. Tsunoda M, Endo T, Hashimoto S, et al. Effects of light and sleep stages on heart rate variability in humans. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2001; 55: 285-6.

30. Umetani K, Singer DH, McCraty R, et al. Twenty-four hour time domain heart rate variability and heart rate: relations to age and gender over nine decades. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998; 31: 593-601.

31. Agelink MW, Boz C, Ullrich H, et al. Relationship between major depression and heart rate variability. Clinical consequences and implications for antidepressive treatment. Psychiatry Res 2002; 113: 139-49.

32. Imaoka K, Inoue H, Inoue Y, et al. R-R intervals of ECG in depression. Folia Psychiatr Neurol Jpn 1985; 39: 485-7.

33. Rechlin T, Weis M, Spitzer A, et al. Are affective disorders associated with alterations of heart rate variability? J Affect Disord 1994; 32: 271-5.

34. Rottenberg J, Chambers AS, Allen JJ, et al. Cardiac vagal control in the severity and course of depression: the importance of symptomatic heterogeneity. J Affect Disord 2007; 103: 173-9.

35. Rottenberg J, Clift A, Bolden S, et al. RSA fluctuation in major depressive disorder. Psychophysiology 2007; 44: 450-8.

36. Ishman SL, Cavey RM, Mettel TL, et al. Depression, sleepiness, and disease severity in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Laryngoscope 2010; 120: 2331-5.

37. Khoo MC, Blasi A. Sleep-related changes in autonomic control in obstructive sleep apnea: a modelbased perspective. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2013; 188: 267-76.

38. Narkiewicz K, Montano N, Cogliati C, et al. Altered cardiovascular variability in obstructive sleep apnea. Circulation 1998; 98: 1071-7.

39. Aydin M, Altin R, Ozeren A, et al. Cardiac autonomic activity in obstructive sleep apnea: timedependent and spectral analysis of heart rate variability using 24-hour Holter electrocardiograms. Tex Heart Inst J 2004; 31: 132-6.

40. Yang CC, Lai CW, Lai HY, et al. Relationship between electroencephalogram slow-wave magnitude and heart rate variability during sleep in humans. Neurosci Lett 2002; 329: 213-6.

41. Pillar G, Lavie P. Psychiatric symptoms in sleep apnea syndrome: Effects of gender and respiratory disturbance index. Chest 1998; 114: 697-703.

42. Nam KC, Kwon MK, Kim DW. Effects of posture and acute sleep deprivation on heart rate variability. Yonsei Med J 2011; 52: 569-73.