

Using Prediction Formulas for Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome

Khosro Sadeghniaat-Haghighi¹, Ania Rahimi-Golkhandan¹, Arezu Najafi¹, Solmaz Arshi², Sajedeh Jamshidi^{3*}

1. Occupational Sleep Research Center, Baharloo Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

2. Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

3. Occupational Sleep Research Center, Baharloo Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; Center for Research on Occupational Diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Received: 30 Mar. 2018 Accepted: 01 Jun. 2018

Abstract

Background and Objective: Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is a standard therapy for patients with moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). Increased demands for polysomnography (PSG) and CPAP titration have led to long waiting lists and high cost. CPAP prediction formulas derived from sleep and anthropometric parameters are used to set the initial CPAP level during CPAP titration. In the current study, we aimed to compare the pressure derived from prediction formulas with the pressure resulted from CPAP titration in a sample of Iranian patients.

Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 90 subjects with confirmed OSA in a full PSG who underwent CPAP titration in Baharloo Sleep Clinic, Tehran, Iran, during 2017, were enrolled. All of the participants had Respiratory Disturbance Index (RDI) ≥ 15 in their PSG test. Then, the optimal pressure obtained from manual CPAP titration was compared with the one calculated by different prediction formulas for each patient.

Results: The mean CPAP pressure from manual titration was greater than the pressures calculated by four prediction formulas. The difference between mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration and pressures calculated by Hoffstein, Lin, and Hukins formulas was statistically significant, whereas mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration was not statistically different from Loreda formula (11.7 ± 2.6 vs. 11.0 ± 2.3 , $P = 0.110$).

Conclusion: Estimation of optimal therapeutic pressure for CPAP device using several prediction formulas is very similar to pressure found during manual titration study. These formulas can be used in our setting for estimation of optimal CPAP pressure to save time and cost.

© 2018 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Sleep apnea; Continuous positive airway pressure; Polysomnography; Sleep

Citation: Sadeghniaat-Haghighi K, Rahimi-Golkhandan A, Najafi A, Arshi S, Jamshidi S. **Using Prediction Formulas for Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome.** *J Sleep Sci* 2018; 3(3-4): 75-79.

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a disorder characterized as a risk factor for a number of important chronic medical conditions and is responsible for poor quality of life (1). This disorder is accompanied by repetitive episodes of cessation of breathing (apneas), reduced breathing (hypopneas), or arousals associated with increased air-

way resistance and respiratory effort (respiratory effort-related arousal) (1-3). The prevalence of OSA syndrome (OSAS) in men and women is 4% and 2%, respectively (among middle-aged population) (4, 5). As age increases, the prevalence also rises and it is estimated around 28-67 percent for elderly men and 20-54 percent for elderly women (5, 6).

Sullivan et al. demonstrated that nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) could alleviate obstruction in upper airway of OSA (7). CPAP is the standard therapy for patients with moderate to severe OSA (8-11). Several studies showed that optimal levels of CPAP pressure reduced excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) and improved

* **Corresponding author:** S. Jamshidi, Occupational Sleep Research Center, Baharloo Hospital Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; Center for Research on Occupational Diseases, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
Tel: +98 21 55460184, Fax: +98 21 55648189
Email: sa.he6889@gmail.com

health status compared with sub-therapeutic CPAP pressure levels (11-13). Current standard method for CPAP titration is an overnight manual pressure titration study by using polysomnography (PSG) (14). However, increased demands for PSG monitoring (diagnostic and CPAP titration) have led to long waiting lists and high cost (11, 15). Thus, several researchers have proposed other methods to determine optimal CPAP settings for patients with OSA such as a split-night study, auto-CPAP titration, and CPAP prediction formulas (16-19). Using auto-CPAP has largely solved this practical issue related to laboratory CPAP titration, but it should be avoided in some patients, such as those with congestive heart failure (CHF), substantial lung disease, or obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS) (20). Therefore, using a CPAP prediction formula remains useful. CPAP prediction formulas derived from sleep measurements and anthropometric parameters are used to set the initial CPAP level during CPAP titration and during initiation of CPAP therapy when titration is not used (21-23).

Several formulas for prediction of the observed optimal therapeutic CPAP (CPAP_{opt}) (algorithm-based titration) have been applied. Miljeteig and Hoffstein reported the first predictive formula by using three independent factors including body mass index (BMI), neck circumference (NC), and apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) among Caucasians (14), but they did not consider race or lifestyle and factors known to affect the severity of OSA (14). However, this formula is not always adequate for establishment of optimal pressure (24, 25). In another study in Caucasian population, the prediction factors of the formula consisted of smoking (pack/year), BMI, and AHI (26). Akaoshi et al. predicted CPAP_{opt} by a combination of cephalometric, anthropometric (BMI), and polysomnographic (AHI and mean oxyhemoglobin saturation) data (27). In Asians, Lin et al. developed a formula by using BMI and AHI as prediction factors in their study (28), whereas BMI, AHI, and desaturation index were the ones used by Chuang et al. in another Asian population (29). The aim of the current study was to compare the pressure derived from prediction formulas with the pressure resulted from CPAP titration in a sample of Iranian patients.

Materials and Methods

Participants: In this cross-sectional study, 90 patients with confirmed OSA in a full-night

PSG who underwent CPAP titration study in Baharloo Sleep Clinic, Tehran, Iran, during 2017, were enrolled. Participants had Respiratory Disturbance Index (RDI) equal or greater than 15 in their overnight PSG test. Age, sex, height, weight, BMI, and NC of the subjects were recorded. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients. Patients with central sleep apnea (CSA), previous surgery for OSA, and the ones with chronic diseases and intolerance to CPAP in the night of study were excluded from this study.

Polysomnography (PSG): PSG consists of electroencephalography (EEG), electrooculography (EOG), electrocardiography (ECG), and electromyography (EMG) which is used for diagnosis of OSA and determination of the disease severity. Snoring, arterial blood oxygen saturation, respiratory airflow, and respiratory effort were monitored during night sleep. The apnea is defined when reduction in airflow is more than 90% from baseline for at least 10 seconds, and hypopnea is defined as a reduction in airflow more than 30% from baseline which takes at least 10 seconds with a $\geq 3\%$ reduction in oxygen saturation or with arousal. Some of our parameters like RDI, mean O₂ saturation (mean SaO₂), and minimum O₂ saturation (nadir SaO₂) during overnight sleep were obtained from PSG test (30). Patients with moderate to severe OSA (RDI ≥ 15) were recruited in the current study.

CPAP titration: The participants, who were candidate for CPAP therapy and agreed to use the device, underwent a second night PSG test for in-laboratory manual titration. The optimal CPAP device pressure for treatment of OSA was determined by an expert sleep specialist and was documented for each patient according to American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guideline (30).

Prediction formulas: There are several clinical formulas which are used for predicting an effective CPAP including:

- Miljeteig and Hoffstein, 1993: Effective pressure = $0.13 (\text{BMI}) + 0.16 (\text{NC}) + 0.04 (\text{RDI}) - 5.12$ (14)
- Loredó et al., 2007: Effective pressure = $30.8 + \text{RDI} (0.03) - \text{nadir SaO}_2 (0.05) - \text{mean SaO}_2 (0.2)$ (19)
- Lin et al., 2003: Effective pressure = $0.52 + 0.174 (\text{BMI}) + 0.042 (\text{AHI})$ (28)
(In abovementioned formulas, we used RDI instead of AHI).
- Hukins, 2005: $\text{BMI} < 30 = 8 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$, BMI

30-35 = 10 cmH₂O, BMI > 35 = 12 cmH₂O (31)

These formulas were used for predicting the effective pressure of CPAP device for each subject, then were compared with the pressure determined by CPAP titration study.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive statistics were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and number and percentage. Mann–Whitney U test was performed for computing the difference between mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration and pressures calculated by each formula. Pearson correlation analysis was administered to measure the correlation between mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration and pressures calculated by each formula. P-value less than 0.050 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software (version 22, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The mean age of the participants of this study was 50.9 ± 12.4 years. Of 90 subjects, 65 patients (72.2%) were men. Demographic and polysomnographic characteristics of the participants are shown in table 1. Study participants had a mean BMI of 30.9 ± 5.4 kg/m² and the mean RDI of 58.1 ± 28.3 (range: 16.9-134) in line with moderate to severe OSA.

Table 1. Demographic and polysomnographic characteristics of the patients

Variable	Mean ± SD
Age (year)	50.9 ± 12.4
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.9 ± 5.4
NC (cm)	41.0 ± 3.8
RDI (/h)	58.1 ± 28.3
Mean Sao ₂ (%)	88.9 ± 6.9
Lowest Sao ₂ (%)	73.5 ± 12.3

BMI: Body mass index; NC: Neck circumference; RDI: Respiratory disturbance index; Sao₂: Oxyhemoglobin saturation

Pearson correlation analysis between CPAP pressure from manual titration and formulas showed that pressure calculated by Miljeteig and Hoffstein (14), Loredo et al. (19), and Lin et al. (28) formulas was significantly correlated with CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration; however, correlation between pressure calculated by Hukins formula (31) and CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration was not significant (Table 2).

Table 2. Correlation between continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) obtained from manual titration and four prediction formulas

CPAP titration with	Correlation	P-value
Miljeteig and Hoffstein formula (14)	0.279	0.010
Loredo et al. formula (19)	0.302	0.004
Lin et al. formula (28)	0.234	0.027
Hukins formula (31)	0.040	0.710

CPAP: Continuous positive airway pressure

The mean CPAP pressure obtained from manual titration was greater than pressures calculated by four prediction formulas (Table 3). The difference between mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration and pressures calculated by Miljeteig and Hoffstein (14), Lin et al. (28), and Hukins (31) formulas was statistically significant, whereas mean CPAP pressure obtained by manual titration was not statistically different from Loredo et al. (19) formula (11.7 ± 2.6 vs. 11.0 ± 2.3, P = 0.110).

Discussion

Manual CPAP titration is considered as the method of choice for titration, but because of its long waiting lists, high cost, inappropriate patient education, discomfort in patients, and other limitations, there are good reasons to use prediction formulas.

Table 3. Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) resulted from manual titration and four prediction formulas

	Mean ± SD
Manual CPAP titration pressure	11.7 ± 2.6
Miljeteig and Hoffstein formula (14) Effective pressure = 0.13 (BMI) + 0.16 (NC) + 0.04 (RDI) - 5.12	7.7 ± 1.7
Loredo et al. formula (19) Effective pressure = 30.8 + RDI (0.03) - nadir SaO ₂ (0.05) - mean SaO ₂	11.0 ± 2.3
Lin et al. formula (28) Effective pressure = 0.52 + 0.174 (BMI) + 0.042 (AHI)	8.3 ± 1.6
Hukins formula (31) Effective pressure = BMI < 30 = 8 cmH ₂ O, BMI 30-35 = 10 cmH ₂ O, BMI > 35 = 12 cmH ₂ O	9.4 ± 1.5

CPAP: Continuous positive airway pressure; SD: Standard deviation; BMI: Body mass index; RDI: Respiratory disturbance index; Sao₂: Oxyhemoglobin saturation; AHI: Apnea-hypopnea index

In the current study, the comparison between the pressure calculated by four prediction formulas and the pressure resulted from manual CPAP titration in Iranian sleep clinic patients, was evaluated.

65 participants of this study were men (72.2%), similar to OSA ratio (8:1) in the laboratory sample; thus, sex did not affect the calculations (32).

In our study, the mean BMI was 30.9 ± 5.4 kg/m², while in the studies of Asian and non-Asian populations, the means of BMI were 25.1-28.4 kg/m² and 30.9-40.6 kg/m², respectively (33).

In recent years, several alternative methods to PSG for CPAP titration have been designed, for example, split full-night sleep studies (34), CPAP titration in a nap setting (35), and the use of formulas. In the literature, four CPAP prediction formulas for therapeutic purposes are introduced. Miljeteig and Hoffstein determined CPAP predictive formula by measurements of BMI, NC, and RDI (14). Lin et al. found measurements of BMI and AHI (28). In Loredó et al. prediction formula for CPAP, BMI, RDI, and SaO₂ were significant predictors of CPAP pressure (19), and Hukins used only BMI (31).

In our study, the mean CPAP pressure from manual titration was greater than pressures calculated by four prediction formulas as shown in previous studies, which can be due to air leaks from different sources like mask leaks and mouth breathing. Our findings were closer to pressure calculated by Loredó et al. (19) formula ($P = 0.010$).

Respiratory events including apnea/hypopnea and snoring are the main parameters for manual adjustment of pressure while these formulas did not consider snoring.

From a literature review, facial anatomy differences exist between Iranian and American, Australian, and Taiwanese individuals; thus, race and ethnicity may affect CPAP pressure. Asians have more severe OSAS but lower BMI than Caucasians; craniofacial abnormalities had a strong correlation with OSAS in patients with lower BMI (36-38). Akahoshi et al. found BMI, AHI, mean SaO₂, and cephalometric parameters as predictors of optimal CPAP (27). Therefore, it is useful to establish a formula to predict CPAP by using cephalometric parameters, BMI, and polysomnographic characteristics based on Iranian sample in future investigations.

Conclusion

We can use prediction formulas for CPAP

titration as a guide for initiation of therapy for saving time and cost.

Conflict of Interests

Authors have no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participants of the study and Baharloo Sleep Clinic staff for their kind contribution.

References

1. Kryger M, Roth T, Dement WC. Principles and practice of sleep medicine. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017. p. 1778.
2. Wilsmore BR, Grunstein RR, Fransen M, et al. Sleep habits, insomnia, and daytime sleepiness in a large and healthy community-based sample of New Zealanders. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2013; 9: 559-66.
3. O'Connor GT, Lind BK, Lee ET, et al. Variation in symptoms of sleep-disordered breathing with race and ethnicity: The Sleep Heart Health Study. *Sleep* 2003; 26: 74-9.
4. Young T, Palta M, Dempsey J, et al. The occurrence of sleep-disordered breathing among middle-aged adults. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328: 1230-5.
5. Arnold J, Sunilkumar M, Krishna V, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2017; 9: S26-S28.
6. Goodday RH. Nasal respiration, nasal airway resistance, and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 1997; 9:167-77.
7. Sullivan CE, Issa FG, Berthon-Jones M, et al. Reversal of obstructive sleep apnoea by continuous positive airway pressure applied through the nares. *Lancet* 1981; 1: 862-5.
8. Wu MF, Hsu JY, Huang WC, et al. Should sleep laboratories have their own predictive formulas for continuous positive airway pressure for patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome? *J Chin Med Assoc* 2014; 77: 283-9.
9. Franklin K, Lundgren R, Dahlqvist A, et al. Nasal CPAP--treatment of choice in obstructive sleep apnea. *Nord Med* 1992; 107: 42-5. [In Swedish].
10. Wright J, Johns R, Watt I, et al. Health effects of obstructive sleep apnoea and the effectiveness of continuous positive airways pressure: A systematic review of the research evidence. *BMJ* 1997; 314: 851-60.
11. Yu CC, Hua CC, Tseng JC, et al. Comparison optimal pressure between automatic titrating and predicting continuous positive airway pressure. *Chang Gung Med J* 2006; 29: 583-9.
12. Engleman HM, Martin SE, Deary IJ, et al. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure treatment on daytime function in sleep apnoea/hypopnoea

- syndrome. *Lancet* 1994; 343: 572-5.
13. Jenkinson C, Davies RJ, Mullins R, et al. Comparison of therapeutic and subtherapeutic nasal continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnoea: A randomised prospective parallel trial. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 2100-5.
 14. Miljeteig H, Hoffstein V. Determinants of continuous positive airway pressure level for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993; 147: 1526-30.
 15. Lloberes P, Ballester E, Montserrat JM, et al. Comparison of manual and automatic CPAP titration in patients with sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 154: 1755-8.
 16. Choi JH, Kim EJ, Kim KW, et al. Optimal continuous positive airway pressure level in Korean patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol* 2010; 3: 207-11.
 17. Sforza E, Petiau C, Weiss T, et al. Pharyngeal critical pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Clinical implications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159: 149-57.
 18. Stradling JR, Hardinge M, Paxton J, et al. Relative accuracy of algorithm-based prescription of nasal CPAP in OSA. *Respir Med* 2004; 98: 152-4.
 19. Loreda JS, Berry C, Nelesen RA, et al. Prediction of continuous positive airway pressure in obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath* 2007; 11: 45-51.
 20. Morgenthaler TI, Aurora RN, Brown T, et al. Practice parameters for the use of autotitrating continuous positive airway pressure devices for titrating pressures and treating adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: an update for 2007. *An American Academy of Sleep Medicine report. Sleep* 2008; 31: 141-7.
 21. Hoffstein V, Mateika S. Predicting nasal continuous positive airway pressure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 150: 486-8.
 22. Oliver Z, Hoffstein V. Predicting effective continuous positive airway pressure. *Chest* 2000; 117: 1061-4.
 23. Lee GH, Kim MJ, Lee EM, et al. Prediction of optimal CPAP pressure and validation of an equation for Asian patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Respir Care* 2013; 58: 810-5.
 24. Villaneuva AT, Buchanan PR, Yee BJ, et al. Ethnicity and obstructive sleep apnoea. *Sleep Med Rev* 2005; 9: 419-36.
 25. Gokcebay N, Iqbal S, Yang K, et al. Accuracy of CPAP predicted from anthropometric and polysomnographic indices. *Sleep* 1996; 19: 600-1.
 26. Schiza SE, Bouloukaki I, Mermigkis C, et al. Utility of formulas predicting the optimal nasal continuous positive airway pressure in a Greek population. *Sleep Breath* 2011; 15: 417-23.
 27. Akahoshi T, Akashiba T, Kawahara S, et al. Predicting optimal continuous positive airway pressure in Japanese patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Respirology* 2009; 14: 245-50.
 28. Lin IF, Chuang ML, Liao YF, et al. Predicting effective continuous positive airway pressure in Taiwanese patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2003; 102: 215-21.
 29. Chuang ML, Lin IF, Vintch JR, et al. Predicting continuous positive airway pressure from a modified split-night protocol in moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome. *Intern Med* 2008; 47: 1585-92.
 30. Kushida CA, Chediak A, Berry RB, et al. Clinical guidelines for the manual titration of positive airway pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2008; 4: 157-71.
 31. Hukins CA. Arbitrary-pressure continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171: 500-5.
 32. Redline S, Kump K, Tishler PV, et al. Gender differences in sleep disordered breathing in a community-based sample. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 149: 722-6.
 33. Camacho M, Riaz M, Tahoori A, et al. Mathematical equations to predict positive airway pressures for obstructive sleep apnea: A systematic review. *Sleep Disord* 2015; 2015: 293868.
 34. Khawaja IS, Olson EJ, van der Walt C, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of split-night polysomnograms. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2010; 6: 357-62.
 35. Sanders MH, Kern NB, Costantino JP, et al. Adequacy of prescribing positive airway pressure therapy by mask for sleep apnea on the basis of a partial-night trial. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993; 147: 1169-74.
 36. Nelson S, Hans M. Contribution of craniofacial risk factors in increasing apneic activity among obese and nonobese habitual snorers. *Chest* 1997; 111: 154-62.
 37. Li KK, Kushida C, Powell NB, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a comparison between Far-East Asian and white men. *Laryngoscope* 2000; 110: 1689-93.
 38. Li KK, Powell NB, Kushida C, et al. A comparison of Asian and white patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Laryngoscope* 1999; 109: 1937-40.